


Building an Open and Interoperable Quality Data Exchange Architecture

OCTRA project final conference, 17 October 2024, Riga

Colin Tück (KIC)





Short description of QualityLink

QualityLink aims to address all stakeholders' needs by furnishing them with all relevant information about courses and micro-credentials from a diversity of sources to improve recognition decisions and allow learners to follow flexible learning pathways. To make sure that the standards are of high quality and have ownership in the community, the consortium will create a Standards Consultation Board – a group of higher education interoperability experts from across different countries. Through creating open standards and collaboration, the project aims to establish the infrastructure for aggregating quality information from a wide range of sources.











Recognition in the era of micro-credentials



Automatic recognition not as straight-forward as for degrees



Potentially a much larger number of recognition decisions to make



Reliable and readilyaccessible information key to make this efficient

Current challenges



Basic course data often not published in open & structured form



Other data (ratings, recognition history, certifications, ...) rarely published using open standards



Hard to match courses across different datasets

	Search by	/ keyword	
	Enter keyword		
Type of provider		Learning outcome type	
Option 1		Select type	~
Option 2			
Option 3		Language	
Learning opportunity type		Select language	~
Option 1		Dimension subset item	
Option 2 Option 3			
Option 3		Select item	~
Option 3			
Suggested Course		Topic (ICT/other)	Topic (ICT/other)
Title of course	Title of cou	Ti	tle of course
Title of course	Title of col	irse In	lie of course
Provider of course Canguage Workload in h	Provider of o Language Workload in	⊕	Provider of course Language Workload in h
Application status: Open	Application state	us: Open	olication status: Open

Basic Data	External Quality Assurance	Quality Indicators	
Honey Bee Health (A Definition Disclaimer	Apiculture)		
Title	Honey Bee Health (Apiculture)		
Outcomes Definition text,	Identify current parasites of honeybees in Ireland and more widely, considering potential threats to honeybee health from imported bees and other products. Describe the life cycle of the main honeybee pathogens. Explain the importance of plants to honeybee health. Link the diversity of microbes associated with honeybees with their influence on honeybee health. Discuss human-mediated factors involved in decline of honeybee health.		
Level (EQF/QF-EHEA) • data type represent	6		
Language(s) of instruction	English		
Costs (fee)	500 EUR		
Accurate and up-to-date information: learning outcomes in ESCO ontology	No (ESCO skills generated) ¹		



		Course 1	Course 2	
Language(s Costs (fee) Accurate an information	Title	Honey Bee Health (Apiculture)	Fundamentals of Accessibility	
		Apis mellifera Identify current parasites of honeybees in Ireland and more widely, considering potential threats to honeybee health from imported bees and other products Describe the life cycle of the main honeybee pathogens Explain the importance of plants to honeybee health Link the diversity of microbes associated with honeybees with their influence on honeybee health Discuss human-mediated factors involved in decline of honeybee health. finition text,	student will know: - The main accessibility concepts and terminology, - different stakeholders and their needs, - principles of legislation, - technologies to support accessibility ranging from traditional accessibility solutions to new ones such as AI and robotics, - principles of accessible translation methods and digital services, - how to apply theory to practical accessibility solutions	
	ехр	olaining what the la type represents	6	
	Language(s) of instruction	English	English	
	Costs (fee)	500 EUR	Free	
	Accurate and up-to-date information: learning outcomes in ESCO ontology	No (ESCO skills generated) ¹	No (ESCO skills generated) Disclaimer noting any considerat about prov	ions
	Type of assessment	Continuous Assessment	unclear, grading scale: pass-fail	

Vision for an open quality data exchange architecture

(in particular, but not only for micro-credentials)

Basic course data (HEI)

Trusted data (e.g. DEQAR)

Other sources (e.g. ratings)

course identifier, ontology, converters, transport standards

data aggregated & published as open data



OUR ROADMAP





- Quality domains and indicators
- Indicator ranking with students and other stakeholders
- Standards Consultation Board
- Technical standards:
 - course identification
 - basic trusted metadata
 - publication of quality data
- Testing of the proposed standards
- Prototype platform

Quality Domains and Indicators

- Content Relevance, Labour Market Demand and Accuracy
- 2) Teaching Methods and Pedagogy
- 3) Accessibility and Inclusivity
- 4) Learner-Centred Approach, Satisfaction and Success
- 5) Institutional Reputation

Level of similarity of micro-credential skills/learning outcomes with those identified in forecasts (numeric scale)

The ratio of students per academic staff (numeric)

Ratio of students from a disadvantaged socioeconomic background (numeric)

Grade distribution table, following ECTS Users' Guide practices (numeric)

HEIs that have recognised the micro-credential, e.g. towards a larger degree programme (numeric, list + links)

Which quality indicators are relevant for recognition?

Join at slido.com #1810 084








Architecture – principles



- Integrate seamlessly with existing standards/systems
- Ensure a low bar to adoption by HEIs
- · Create flexibility (e.g. different routes) where helpful
- Open to future extensions (e.g. additional types of data)
- Any new components released open source

Landscape of technical standards










THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Architecture – overview



Architecture – components (I)



- Unique course identifier
 - Focus on course (not course occurence/instance)
 - Based on established institutional identifiers (e.g. SCHAC, ETER)
 - Assigned and controlled by providing institution
 - Needs to be dereferenceable
 - Support changes and tracking history
 - Possibly: course disambiguation/matching service

Architecture – components (II)



Ontology

- Allow easy mapping from different sources
- Cover all indicators needed as simple as possible, and allow extensions
- European Learning Model (ELM) for everything covered by it
- New ontology as extension to ELM where needed, e.g.
 - Student satisfaction data
 - Ranking data
 - Curated converters/mappings (e.g. from OOAPI, Edu-API, EWP, OCCAPI)

Architecture – components (III)



- Registration and discovery of data sources
 - Policy dimension: three classes
 - Authoritative sources: trusted data on any programme/course, e.g. DEQAR
 - Providers: trusted data on their own programmes/courses
 - Other data sources: data limited to specific domains
 - Technical dimension:
 - Authoritative sources and other sources managed manually
 - Providers should be able to publish without manual registration (through use of existing registries such as DEQAR, EWP, ETER, ...)

Architecture – components (IV)



- Transport layer
 - Some existing standards define a transport layer, some do not
 - Offer multiple options to increase flexibility, e.g.
 - exposing a standardised API (e.g. similar to OOAPI or OCCAPI)
 - hosting a static file (e.g. similar to QDR)
 - Use simple standard approaches for discovery, e.g.
 - .well-known URL
 - DNS record

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following conditions:

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

ShareAlike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original.

No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological















